Do anti-discrimination policies work?

A mix of policies could be the solution to reducing discrimination in the labor market

Keywords: anti-discrimination policies, prejudice, taste-based discrimination, stereotypes

ELEVATOR PITCH

Discrimination is a complex, multi-factor phenomenon. Evidence shows widespread discrimination on various grounds, including ethnic origin, sexual orientation, gender identity, religion or beliefs, disability, being over 55 years old, or being a woman. Combating discrimination requires combining the strengths of a range of anti-discrimination policies while also addressing their weaknesses. In particular, policymakers should thoroughly address prejudice (taste-based discrimination), stereotypes (statistical discrimination), cognitive biases, and attention-based discrimination.

KEY FINDINGS

Pros

- Banning discrimination against at-risk groups likely contributes to protecting them once they are hired.
- Monitoring the composition of the workforce together with wage gaps helps identify noncomplying firms and, hence, improves the share and earnings of individuals at risk of discrimination.
- Prejudice-reducing policies, such as de-biasing interventions at school, should curtail taste-based discrimination.
- Narrowing the productivity differential across groups and easing employers' access to information on candidates' individual productivity can reduce statistical discrimination.
- Machine learning for screening résumés and managing careers limits the expression of cognitive biases and attention-based discrimination.

AUTHOR'S MAIN MESSAGE

Perception of discrimination in 2015 Ethnic origin Sexual orientation Gender identity Religion or beliefs Disability Being over 55 years old Gender Being under 30 years old Total "widespread" Total "rare" Non-existent Don't know Note: Data compiled from EU member countries, in response to the question: "For each of the following types of discrimination, could you please tell me whether, in your opinion, it is widespread, fairly widespread, fairly rare, or very rare in (OUR COUNTRY)?" Source: Based on Figure 1. IZA World of Labor

ΙΖΑ

World of Labor Evidence-based policy making

Cons

- Anti-discrimination laws do not seem to reduce hiring discrimination, and may even increase it.
- Defining target values for the workforce composition and wage gaps of different groups is not feasible.
- Little is known about how to calibrate de-biasing interventions in order to maximize their impact and persistence.
- Policies aiming to counter statistical discrimination are known to generate perverse effects.
- It is not possible to develop human resource (HR) analytics, the use of big data for human resources, in small firms.

Anti-discrimination policies that rely on a punitive approach are not sufficient to combat discrimination. They must be complemented by policies that counter prejudice and stereotypes and that limit the expression of cognitive biases and attention-based discrimination. New research is shedding light on the impact of interventions that aim to reduce taste-based discrimination, dampen statistical discrimination, and address people's mental limitations. However, much more research is needed to understand how to calibrate the various components of this policy mix in order to improve its effectiveness.