Pros:
- Most of the large increase in unemployment during the 2007−2009 recession appears to be cyclic, not structural.
- Those industries that experienced the most significant increase in unemployment during the recession also experienced the largest declines as the unemployment rate fell.
- Demographic groups experiencing greater unemployment during a recession are those employed in highly cyclical industries.
- The degree of mismatch across industries and occupations is pro-cyclic, rising during the recession and falling during the recovery.
- Evidence suggests mean wages decline during a recession indicating lower labor demand rather than a structural shift in labor supply.

Cons:
- Vacancy rates are high relative to unemployment rates during the recovery from a recession.
- Labor mobility is lower during recessions.
- The ratio of long-term unemployed to the total number of unemployed rose dramatically in the 2007−2009 recession.
- It is difficult to determine whether a recession is cyclic or structural while it is underway, but there are indicators that make this possible.

Author’s Main Message
The optimal macro policy response to a negative shock is quite different depending on whether unemployment is structural or cyclic. Monetary policy is an inappropriate tool for addressing structural problems, but may be relevant for cyclical unemployment. The high unemployment during the 2007–2009 recession in the US is primarily cyclic. This does not imply that monetary policy will be effective; only that it is not ruled out as a possible tool.