**Short-time work compensations and employment**

Temporary government schemes can have a positive economic effect.

**Pros**

- Short-time work compensations reduce layoffs and make it easier for employers to adjust their workers' hours to meet work requirements.
- Because fewer people lose their jobs, both the employer and the state pay less in unemployment benefits.
- Used in downturn periods, compensation schemes are particularly beneficial for permanent workers, who can continue in steady employment.
- Short-time work compensation schemes benefit companies, because they allow them to retain valuable staff during downturns.

**Cons**

- Compensation schemes are not beneficial to temporary workers, who do not qualify for payments and may be excluded from the labor market.
- By distorting the labor market, short-time work compensation schemes can lead to inefficiency. The reductions in working hours negotiated may not match the demand for labor.
- Because jobs are retained when there is no demand, the schemes inefficiently reduce the reallocation of labor to more productive jobs.
- Compensation schemes are not necessarily the most effective way of adjusting hours and labor costs during a recession. Company-level bargaining over hours, wages, and employment may provide a better-tailored outcome than a state-sponsored scheme.

**ELEVATOR PITCH**

Government schemes that compensate workers for the loss of income while they are on short hours (known as short-time work compensation schemes) make it easier for employers to temporarily reduce hours worked so that labor is better matched to output requirements. Because the employers do not lay off these staff, the schemes help to maintain permanent employment levels during recessions. However, they can create inefficiency in the labor market, and might limit labor market access for freelancers and those looking to work part-time.

**KEY FINDINGS**

**Pros**

- Short-time work compensations reduce layoffs and make it easier for employers to adjust their workers' hours to meet work requirements.
- Because fewer people lose their jobs, both the employer and the state pay less in unemployment benefits.
- Used in downturn periods, compensation schemes are particularly beneficial for permanent workers, who can continue in steady employment.
- Short-time work compensation schemes benefit companies, because they allow them to retain valuable staff during downturns.

**Cons**

- Compensation schemes are not beneficial to temporary workers, who do not qualify for payments and may be excluded from the labor market.
- By distorting the labor market, short-time work compensation schemes can lead to inefficiency. The reductions in working hours negotiated may not match the demand for labor.
- Because jobs are retained when there is no demand, the schemes inefficiently reduce the reallocation of labor to more productive jobs.
- Compensation schemes are not necessarily the most effective way of adjusting hours and labor costs during a recession. Company-level bargaining over hours, wages, and employment may provide a better-tailored outcome than a state-sponsored scheme.

**AUTHOR’S MAIN MESSAGE**

Short-time work compensation schemes can help employers to adjust labor to match demand during temporary periods of low demand such as recessions. They work well when unemployment benefits are generous, because they reduce their take-up. They are also effective when there are strong labor regulations and market institutions which make it difficult to adjust hours and wages at the plant level. However, they need to be designed and used with care, because they can lead to inefficiency. Workers might be retained when they should instead be made redundant, and the schemes hinder the reallocation of workers to more productive jobs.
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