Circular migration
Why restricting labor mobility can be counterproductive
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ELEVATOR PITCH
In the popular immigration narrative, migrants leave one country and establish themselves permanently in another, creating a “brain drain” in the sending country. In reality, migration is typically temporary: Workers migrate, find employment, and then return home or move on, often multiple times. Sending countries benefit from remittances while workers are abroad and from enhanced human capital when they return, while receiving countries fill labor shortages. Policies impeding circular migration can be costly to both sending and receiving countries.

KEY FINDINGS

Pros
- Circular migrants fill labor shortages in host countries.
- Migrants do not stay in host countries if they cannot find work.
- Remittances sent home by migrants contribute crucially to the economic development of the sending countries.
- Circular migration reduces the brain drain and encourages the transfer of skills and know-how (“brain circulation”).
- Circular migrants benefit from mobility.

Cons
- Restricting circular migration increases the likelihood of illegal immigration and overstaying of visas in receiving countries.
- Restrictions may result in more non-economic migrants, including family members and people on welfare.
- Outmigration can lead to labor market shortages in sending countries.
- Circular migrants may remain stuck in low levels of employment and may be exposed to abuse, exploitation, and discrimination.

AUTHOR’S MAIN MESSAGE
International labor migration is typically circular, involving non-permanent moves back and forth between home and foreign places of work. Policies that restrict worker mobility often backfire, with workers resorting to illegal means of entering the country, bringing their family with them, and no longer returning home. Restricting mobility may therefore induce losses of welfare. Free labor mobility is more likely to generate benefits for all sides. Supportive policy instruments include dual citizenship, permanent residence permits, and open migration agreements between countries.